



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/079,119	02/19/2002	Edmund G. Lou	SUNMP040	6772	
25920 75	90 09/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP 710 LAKEWAY DRIVE			AHLUWALIA,	AHLUWALIA, NAVNEET K	
SUITE 200	YDRIVE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			2166		
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		LOU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	10/079,119	Art Unit				
	Examiner November 16 Abbandie					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Navneet K. Ahluwalia pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe	ebruary 2002.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
•, <u> </u>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 February 2002 is/are						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
,—						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	1 2 1 05 11 0 0 0 440/					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

9

DETAILED ACTION

1. The application has been examined. Claims 1 - 20 are pending in this office action.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters 102 and 104 have both been used to designate Application & Test Code in Figures 1 and 2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In the detailed description of the preferred embodiments page 8 line 22 the application program is referred to as element 102 and the reporter plug-in as element 104 but on page 9 line 21 and onwards, the application program has been referred to as element 102. The reference elements need to be numbered and referred to consistently throughout the application.

Art Unit: 2166

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 5, 8 12 and 15 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barker et al. ('Barker herein after') (US 6,781,609 B1).

With respect to claim 1,

Barker teaches a method for generating an extensible markup language (XML) test result file, comprising the operations of:

receiving a key parameter and a value parameter, wherein the key parameter relates to a tag (column 2 lines 10 – 13);

generating a first string based on the key parameter and the value parameter, wherein the first string includes a first beginning tag and a first ending tag (Figure 5B tags enclosing element 521) based on the key parameter, wherein the first string includes the value parameter (Figure 5B element 521) positioned between the first beginning tag and the first ending tag (Figure 5B elements enclosing element 540); and

inserting the first string (Figure 5B tags enclosing elements 520 and 540 and the data enclosed in the tags <GROUP> and </GROUP>) into test results file storing a second string having a second beginning tag (Figure 5B element <DATA-GROUP>) and a second ending tag (Figure 5B element </DATA-GROUP>), wherein the first string is

Art Unit: 2166

inserted between the second beginning tag and a second ending tag (Figure 5B all elements and data enclosed in <DATA-GROUP> and </DATA-GROUP>).

With respect to claim 2,

Barker teaches the method wherein the first beginning tag and the first ending tag are based on a document type definition (DTD) (column 9 lines 8 – 10).

With respect to claim 3,

Barker teaches the method further comprising the operation of creating a test results hash table that stores key parameters related to the test results file (column 4 lines 38 - 39).

With respect to claim 4,

Barker teaches the method further comprising the operation of generating a file pointer tree having a plurality of nodes, each node referenced by a node pointer in the test results hash table (column 11 lines 23 – 26 and column 12 lines 13 – 16).

With respect to claim 5,

Barker teaches the method wherein each node stores a begin value and an end value related to a particular key (column 11 lines 27 – 32).

With respect to claim 8,

Barker teaches a computer program embodied on a computer readable medium for generating an extensible markup language (XML) test result file, comprising:

a code segment that receives a key parameter and a value parameter, wherein the key parameter relates to a tag (column 2 lines 10 – 13);

Art Unit: 2166

a code segment that generates a first string based on the key parameter and the value parameter, wherein the first string includes a first beginning tag and a first ending tag (Figure 5B tags enclosing element 521) based on the key parameter, and wherein the first string includes the value parameter (Figure 5B element 521) positioned between the first beginning tag and the first ending tag (Figure 5B elements enclosing element 540); and

a code segment that inserts the first string (Figure 5B tags enclosing elements 520 and 540 and the data enclosed in the tags <GROUP> and </GROUP>) into a test results file storing a second string having a second beginning tag (Figure 5B element <DATA-GROUP>) and a second ending tag (Figure 5B element </DATA-GROUP>), wherein the first string is inserted between the second beginning tag and a second ending tag (Figure 5B all elements and data enclosed in <DATA-GROUP> and </DATA-GROUP>).

With respect to claim 9,

Barker teaches a computer program wherein the first beginning tag and the first ending tag are based on a document type definition (DTD) (column 9 lines 8 – 10).

With respect to claim 10,

Barker teaches a computer program further comprising a code segment that creates a test results hash table for storing key parameters related to the test results file (column 4 lines 38 – 39).

With respect to claim 11,

Art Unit: 2166

Barker teaches a computer program further comprising a code segment that generates a file pointer tree having a plurality of nodes, each node referenced by a node pointer in the test results hash table (column 11 lines 23 - 26 and column 12 lines 13 - 16).

With respect to claim 12,

Barker teaches a computer program wherein each node stores a begin value and an end value related to a particular key (column 11 lines 27 – 32).

With respect to claim 15,

Barker teaches a system for generating an extensible markup language (XML) test result file, comprising:

an application program that includes application testing instructions, the application testing instructions capable of generating test result data (the instructions being in the form of tags and values able to be processed to form a string as a result column 2 lines 10 - 13);

an XML reporter object in communication with the application program, wherein the XML reporter object receives the test result data from the application program, and wherein the XML reporter object processes the test result (the testing phase is explained in column 3 lines 13 – 19) data to generate an XML based string (the data/ value is received and then processed to be inserted between its beginning and ending tags Figure 5B elements enclosing element 540); and

Art Unit: 2166

an XML test results file in communication with the XML reporter object, wherein the XML reporter inserts the XML based string into the XML test results file (Figure 5B all elements and data enclosed in <DATA-GROUP> and </DATA-GROUP>).

With respect to claim 16,

Barker teaches a system wherein the XML test results file is a well formed and valid XML file based on a particular document type definition (DTD) (column 9 lines 8 – 10).

With respect to claim 17,

Barker teaches a system wherein the XML reporter object further receives a key parameter related to the test result data from the application program (column 2 lines 10 – 13).

With respect to claim 18,

Barker teaches a system wherein the XML reporter object includes a test results hash table for storing key parameters related to the test results file (column 4 lines 38 – 39).

With respect to claim 19,

Barker teaches a system wherein the XML reporter object includes a file pointer tree having a plurality of nodes, each node referenced by a node pointer in the test results hash table (column 11 lines 23 – 26 and column 12 lines 13 – 16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 6, 7, 13, 14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barker et al. ('Barker herein after') (US 6,781,609 B1) in view of Scott et al. ('Scott herein after') (US 2002/0049760 A1).

With respect to claim 6,

Barker teaches a beginning tag and a corresponding ending tag to a particular key (Figure 5B elements enclosing element 540).

Barker does not explicitly indicate the file positions corresponding to the tags.

Art Unit: 2166

Scott teaches claimed file positions begin value indicating a file position after a corresponding beginning tag related to the particular key, and wherein the end value corresponds to a file position after a corresponding ending tag related to the particular key (Page 5 paragraph [0054] lines 5-7, Scott).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of cited references because they are both used in a peer-to-peer kind environment, the hash id and configuration to store information files of Scott would have allowed Barker's method to provide the speed reliability and better file access (Page 1 paragraph [0005] lines 2-5).

With respect to claim 7,

Barker teaches inserting the first string into the second string (Figure 5B all elements and data enclosed in <DATA-GROUP> and </DATA-GROUP>).

Barker does not explicitly indicate the begin and end values.

Scott teaches claimed begin and end values for the insertion of the first string into the second string (Page 5 paragraph [0054] lines 5 - 7, Scott).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of cited references because they are both used in a peer-to-peer kind environment, the hash id and configuration to store information files of Scott would have allowed Barker's method to provide the speed reliability and better file access (Page 1

paragraph [0005] lines 2 - 5). Furthermore, it would have given faster access to files/ data.

With respect to claim 13,

Barker teaches a beginning tag and a corresponding ending tag to a particular key (Figure 5B elements enclosing element 540).

Barker does not explicitly indicate the file positions corresponding to the tags.

Scott teaches claimed file positions begin value indicating a file position after a corresponding beginning tag related to the particular key, and wherein the end value corresponds to a file position after a corresponding ending tag related to the particular key (Page 5 paragraph [0054] lines 5-7, Scott).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of cited references because they are both used in a peer-to-peer kind environment, the hash id and configuration to store information files of Scott would have allowed Barker's computer program to provide the speed reliability and better file access (Page 1 paragraph [0005] lines 2-5).

With respect to claim 14,

Barker teaches inserting the first string into the second string (Figure 5B all elements and data enclosed in <DATA-GROUP> and </DATA-GROUP>).

Barker does not explicitly indicate the begin and end values.

Art Unit: 2166

Scott teaches claimed begin and end values for the insertion of the first string into the second string (Page 5 paragraph [0.054] lines 5-7, Scott).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of cited references because they are both used in a peer-to-peer kind environment, the hash id and configuration to store information files of Scott would have allowed Barker's computer program to provide the speed reliability and better file access (Page 1 paragraph [0005] lines 2 – 5). Furthermore, it would have given faster access to files/ data.

With respect to claim 20,

Barker teaches wherein each node stores a begin value and an end value related to a particular key (column 11 lines 27 – 32), a beginning tag and a corresponding ending tag to a particular key (Figure 5B elements enclosing element 540).

Barker does not explicitly indicate the file positions corresponding to the tags.

Scott teaches claimed file positions begin value indicating a file position after a corresponding beginning tag related to the particular key, and wherein the end value corresponds to a file position after a corresponding ending tag related to the particular key (Page 5 paragraph [0054] lines 5-7, Scott).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of cited Application/Control Number: 10/079,119 Page 12

Art Unit: 2166

references because they are both used in a peer-to-peer kind environment, the hash id and configuration to store information files of Scott would have allowed Barker's system to provide the speed reliability and better file access (Page 1 paragraph [0005] lines 2-5).

Art Unit: 2166

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Navneet K. Ahluwalia whose telephone number is 571-

272-5636. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Alam T. Hosain can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Navneet K. Ahluwalia

Examiner

Art Unit 2166

Date: 09/13/2005

HOSAIN ALAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER